



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,235	04/16/2004	Han-Zhou Li	SUND 517	7773
23995	7590 07/26/2006		EXAMINER	
RABIN & Berdo, PC 1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			XU, KEVIN K	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
		DATE MAILED: 07/26/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Examiner	Aladia a FALL III	10/825.235	LI. HAN-ZHOU			
This application is abandoned in view of:	Notice of Abandonment					
This application is abandoned in view of:		Kevin K Xu	2628			
This application is abandoned in view of: 1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 15 December 2005. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed damendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with a post of the Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114 (a) appear (a) application (a) appear (a) application (a) application (a) application (a) application (b) application (b) application (b) application (b) application (b) appear (a) application (b) application (b) application (b) applic	The MAILING DATE of this communication app		+ 			
1. ☑ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 15 December 2005. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply functioning a total extension of time of month(s) which expired on (b) ☐ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal feet): or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 1. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ C. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply finchtiding a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) □ A proposed reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of; (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) □ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) □ The submitted fee of S is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. □ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the pe						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timety filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timety filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timety filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☑ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is affer the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publicatio	(a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☒ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. C ☐ ☐ the decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review						
final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3	application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (c) The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (with a certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (with a certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (with a proposed corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on (with a Certificate of Mailing or and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. **Peeriotic President Office** **Peeriotic President Office*						
from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7 The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. ((d) ⊠ No reply has been received.					
	from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c)), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of					
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. **MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to review under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on	The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2400 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Tredemark Office						
4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600. Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☑ The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
Examiner called Steven Rabin's office (202-371-8976) on July 17 th 2006 to inquire as to the status of application 10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned. MARK ZIMMERMAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	7. 🛮 The reason(s) below:					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	10825235. Mr. Rabin's office then notified Examiner that application has been abandoned.					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	Thank Jum					
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office			MARK ZIMMERMAN			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		SUP	ERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		T aw the holding of abandonment under 37	ECHNOLOGY CENTER 2500 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to			
	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20060717			